

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:	:	
	:	Case No. 09-11233 (REG)
CHEMTURA CORPORATION, et al.,	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
Debtors.	:	
	:	Chapter 11

ORDER REGARDING BIO-LAB CONYERS, GEORGIA
FIRE SETTLEMENT CLAIMANT APPEALS

Before this Court, are the below-itemized fifty-nine (59) of sixty-five (65) appeals submitted by Bio-Lab Conyers, Georgia Fire Settlement Claimants either (i) directly with the Court (the names of the Claimants that submitted their appeals directly with the Court are noted in **bold** in the table below); or (ii) to the Claims Administrator or the Parties as putative appeals.

On August 30, 2011, the Claims Administrator provided this Court with the Disallowed Claims Report. On September 15, 2011, the Claims Administrator sent denial letters to all of the Claimants included on the Disallowed Claims Report, providing the Claimants with information regarding their appeal rights. The denial letters also informed the Claimants that all Claimant appeals of the Claims Administrator's denial of their claim must be provided to the Court and the Parties within fifteen (15) days. Sixty-five (65) Claimants timely appealed the Claims Administrator's decision (the "Appellants").

On October 28, 2011, the Claims Administrator submitted a Notice of Presentment

and a Proposed Order regarding the disposition of the 65 appeals, and provided it to the Appellants. Under the Notice, the Appellants had until noon on November 28, 2011, to object to the Claims Administrator's proposed disposition of their appeal under the Notice and Proposed Order. Six (6) Appellants have objected to the Proposed Order and the Claims Administrator's recommended disposition of their appeals (the "Objecting Appellants"), while fifty-nine (59) Appellants (the "Non-Objecting Appellants") have not. By timely objecting, the Objecting Appellants have requested a hearing before the Court, and therefore the Objecting Appellants are not subject to this Order.

In accordance with Paragraph 8.2, on page 30 of the Bio-Lab Conyers Settlement Agreement, previously approved by the Court, these appeals are due to be resolved by the Court.

Below is a list of the 59 Non-Objecting Appellants, with one column listing each Non-Objecting Appellant's name, and a second column providing the Court's determination respecting each Non-Objecting Appellant's appeal.

The 59 Non-Objecting Appellants were provided an opportunity to object to the Claims Administrator's recommended disposition of their Appeal, and did not object. Therefore, upon considering the Claims Administrator's recommendations, the presentations of the Parties, and all other matters and things the Court deems to be appropriate, the Court finds the recommendations of the Claims Administrator respecting the 59 Non-Objecting Appellants to be well taken, and it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

Non-Objecting Appellant Name	Court's Determination
1. Hajjie Mubarak Abdullah	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for his individual claim in the Evacuation Area.
2. Anthony Antoine	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for his individual claim in the Evacuation Area.
3. Mary Bentford	The appeal is granted, in part, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual claim in the Evacuation Area. However, the Claimant's appeal with respect to her property claim is denied.
4. M.B. Minor Claimant c/o Gladys Lopez	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Minor Claimant is entitled to receive \$100.00 as a Named Plaintiff.
5. Christin Brown 6. Kristen Brown 7. Shannon Brown	The appeals for these three Claimants are granted, in whole, and the Claimants are entitled to receive recovery for their individual claims in the Class Area.
8. James Brown	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive \$100.00 as a Named Plaintiff.
9. Richard Brown, III	The appeal is granted, allowing for an additional award of \$188.97, increasing the proposed award to \$3,134.89.
10. Jacqueline Byrd	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for an individual claim in the Class Area.
11. William Byrd	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for an individual claim in the Class Area.
12. Elizabeth Caudle	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual claim in the Evacuation Area.
13. T.C. (Minor Claimant)	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Minor Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for his individual claim in the Evacuation Area.

c/o Elizabeth Caudle	
Non-Objecting Appellant Name	Court's Determination
14. Katherine Crawford	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual claim in the Class Area, after reducing the recovery amount by the \$100.00 prior Bio-Lab payment.
15. Jacqueline M. DeCook	The appeal is denied, allowing the recommended award amount of \$1,061.86.
16. Richard DeCook	The appeal is denied, allowing the recommend award amount of \$1,320.00.
17. Johnny DeLeon	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive \$100.00 as a Named Plaintiff.
18. Charles Driver	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for both of his individual and one (1) property claim in the Class Area after reducing the recovery by the \$100.00 prior Bio-Lab payment.
19. Karla Driver	The appeal is granted, in part, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual claim in the Class Area after reducing her recovery by the \$100.00 prior BioLab payment. The Claimant's appeal with respect to her property claim is denied.
20. Curnita Gailliard	The appeals for both Claimants are granted, in whole, and both Claimants are entitled to receive recovery for their individual claims in the Evacuation Area.
21. Cynthia Gilder	
22. William E.	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.
23. Maria DeFatima Alves dba Greek Pizza House	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.
24. Sharon Gunn	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual claim and one (1) property claim in the Evacuation Area, after reducing her recovery by the \$140.00 prior BioLab payment.
25. Ruby Heard	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual claim in the Evacuation Area, after reducing her recovery by the \$240.35 prior payment amount.
26. A.H. (Minor	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Minor Claimant is not entitled to any

Claimant) c/o Janice Tillman	recovery.
Non-Objecting Appellant Name	Court's Determination
27. Vivian Jackson	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.
28. Nicole Justice	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive \$100.00 as a Named Plaintiff.
29. Tomeka Justice	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.
30. Marsha Kennon	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive the maximum personal injury Extraordinary Damages Claim award of \$10,000.00.
31. Gladys Lopez	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive \$100.00 as a Named Plaintiff.
32. Mary Lott	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual claim in the Evacuation Area.
33. Wetonina Maddox	The appeal is granted, in part, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual claim in the Evacuation Area, after reducing the recovery by the \$220.00 prior BioLab payment.
34. A.M. (Minor Claimant) c/o April Reid	Appeal is moot. Claimant's individual claim in the Evacuation Area is complete, and no part of Claimant's claim was denied. Claimant is entitled to recovery for her individual claim in the Evacuation Area.
35. J.M. (Minor Claimant) c/o April Reid	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual claim in the Evacuation Area.
36. Jermaine Murphy	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive \$100.00 as a Named Plaintiff.
37. Mactilda Otieno	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to recovery for her individual and property claims in the Evacuation Area.
38. Barbara Parham	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual and one (1) property claim in the Evacuation Area, after reducing her recovery by the \$75.00 prior payment amount.
39. D.P. (Minor Claimant) c/o Sylvia	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Minor Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for his individual claim in the Evacuation Area.

Parks	
40. Sylvia Parks	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual and one (1) property claim in the Evacuation Area, if any, after her recovery is reduced by the \$6,446.32 prior payment.
Non-Objecting Appellant Name	Court's Determination
41. Osa Patterson	The appeal is granted, in part, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual claim and her business claim, limited to \$1,000.00 in the Class Area. The Claims Administrator recommends that the Claimant's property claim be denied.
42. April Reid	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for an individual claim in the Evacuation Area.
43. Donald Roberts	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.
44. David Saccoccia	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to recovery for his individual claim in the Class Area.
45. Mark Sellers	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.
46. James Sendelbach	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for an individual claim in the Evacuation Area, after his recovery is reduced the \$600.00 prior Bio-Lab payment.
47. Tanya Strege	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for her individual and one (1) property claim in the Evacuation Area.
48. Janice Tillman	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for an individual claim in the Class Area.
49. Virginia Tiznado	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive \$100.00 as a Named Plaintiff.
50. B.T. (Minor Claimant) c/o Nicole Justice	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.
51. D.T. (Minor Claimant) c/o Nicole Justice	The appeal is granted, in whole, and the Minor Claimant is entitled to receive recovery for his individual claim in the Class Area.

52. Lula Thomas	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive \$100.00 as a Named Plaintiff.
53. Marcus Thomas	The appeal is denied, in whole, but the Claimant is entitled to receive \$100.00 as a Named Plaintiff.
Non-Objecting Appellant Name	Court's Determination
54. Ann Washington	The appal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.
55. Brenda Welbron on behalf of Tyco Sign, Co.	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Business Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.
56. Curtis Williams	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.
57. Jacob Thomas Zanetti	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.
58. M.Z. (Minor Claimant) c/o Jacob Zanetti	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.
59. R.Z (Minor Claimant) c/o Jacob Zanetti	The appeal is denied, in whole, and the Claimant is not entitled to any recovery.

Therefore, the Claims Administrator is instructed and authorized to dispose of each respective Non-Objecting Appellant's claim accordingly.

Dated: **December 6, 2011**

s/ Robert E. Gerber
HONORABLE ROBERT E. GERBER
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE